

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 17, 2004 LB 963

can deal with it. But there are a couple of things that I believe I can offer that will smooth out the language of the bill, and I would like to talk to Senator Schimek, since she's the Chairperson of the committee that gave us this amendment.

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Schimek.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator,...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...would you look at...now this would be page 2 of the committee amendment.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do you see in line 13 the beginning of a new sentence with the words "the officer"?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Suppose I were to strike the period after "director," as well as those two words, and insert "who," w-h-o.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Would that "who" then go back to the deputy director, or would it go to all three mentioned earlier in the sentence?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, since the term "officer" is singular, only one person is going to be appointed, not all three of them.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: So why do you want to eliminate the period and...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And the word "officer." Because it is surplusage. It would be like saying the person speaking...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: It would be fine, Senator, as I look at it.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...is the Chairman of...oh, okay. Then I would do it again in line 17. After "National Guard," I would