

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 17, 2004 LB 963

left, if you need it or wish it at this time.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Schimek. A minute and 50 seconds, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Thank you, Senator Schimek. Those higher ranks are not what I have to be too concerned about because if any of them got busted down to major or captain, they might not still be in the Guard, if we were talking about colonels, lieutenant colonels, generals, brigadier generals and so forth. What I am talking about is the fact that under the existing law a first lieutenant is mentioned. That is stricken. The lowest ranking person who could hold one of these positions is a captain, so you eliminate the two lower commissioned ranks. You eliminate...

SPEAKER BROMM: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...second lieutenants, you eliminate first lieutenants, and you could have some of those people. Even if they'd had four years of experience as commissioned officers, they could not be appointed to these positions. And if you had no colonels or brigadiers lying around, then you cannot fill these positions. I emphasize that the four-year requirement is existing law. That is not being put into the statute by way of LB 963. But at the time the existing law was put in place, the National Guard was not serving the function and being treated in the way that we find being done currently. So maybe a garment that was adequate in the old days...

SPEAKER BROMM: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...is not adequate now. Thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BROMM: Your light is next, however, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, I know I'm not going to be able to make any substantive changes to the existing law, and maybe what I mention will never happen. And if it does happen, it may happen when I'm no longer in the Legislature and others