

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 17, 2004 LB 963

we putting them in statute? But it gives the Adjutant General a little bit more flexibility in how he or she decides to organize and assign duties. And I think that with the advent of more Guard going overseas, that this becomes perhaps more of a matter of practicality.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, why then couldn't they say, the Adjutant General...make it permissive...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...may appoint a deputy adjutant general who shall also be the deputy director?

SENATOR CUDABACK: I'm sorry. You're time is up, Senator Schimek. Senator Chambers, there are no further lights on, so you are recognized to close on FA1463 to LB 963.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. And I have a motion up there to strike the section we're discussing, so that we can continue. But Senator Schimek, rather than wait until we get to that one section, I may run out of opportunities to speak before I'm satisfied that I understand it. Maybe everybody else understands it and sees no difficulty. Why...okay, let's say that this is all being done, in Section 4 of the committee amendment, for internal organizational purposes only. We then don't need these extra titles, because the Adjutant General is free to assign duties to these different people. And if that is the case, the name does not then make much difference. But what I wonder is why we have to have three possible titles, or three possible designations of one person. There is not going to be a deputy adjutant, also a chief of staff, also a deputy director. There's just going to be one person. So why don't we give that one person one name? And maybe the Guard feels that there is not flexibility unless you have these three different possible designations. I don't see flexibility that way. Flexibility as I would perceive it is not found in what you call the person, and you give three alternatives, but rather in the duties that are assigned and what that person may or shall do. That's where the flexibility comes in when you authorize the Adjutant General to exercise discretion. But if it is felt by the National Guard