

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 17, 2004 LB 963

in a position of being given discretion to appoint the deputy adjutant general, rather than being required. It would seem to me, if there is such a thing as a director...I see Senator Schimek standing there. Do you mind if I ask her a question, Senator Vrtiska?

SENATOR VRTISKA: No, but I'd like to make a comment,...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Sure.

SENATOR VRTISKA: ...to the extent that, I sat for quite a long time and visited with the Adjutant General and his staff, and we went over a lot of these things. And some of the things you're bringing up I don't think we covered. And again, as you indicated, I'm not that familiar with all of the ins and outs of the...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR VRTISKA: ...National Guard and how it's managed. But go ahead and ask Senator Schimek a question if you wish. But I'll talk to you a little bit later.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Senator Schimek, is there currently a director of the National Guard?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Schimek.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: A deputy director?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, a director.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Not to my knowledge, Senator. And it's my understanding that the reason that this was added in here, and the reason you have the different terminology, is it's trying to make it inclusive for the different branches of service that are represented in the Guard. And they just want it to be clear that the Adjutant General can come from any of those services, and can get their assistant from any of those services. I think that's what they're trying to do here.