

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 17, 2004 LB 963

number, a page number, it's going to be the page at the bottom...the number at the bottom of these pages. But if I offer an amendment, I'm going to try to offer it to the page as they will...as it will be broken down in the committee amendment, so that at that point it may not be confusing, although while I say it it may be. If we come down to line 11 on page 1, sheet number one in the committee amendment, the current language says the Adjutant General shall have a deputy adjutant. That language is changed to say that the Adjutant General may appoint, but does not have to. What are the circumstances...oh, may appoint a deputy adjutant general or a deputy director. What is the difference between a deputy adjutant general and a deputy director?

SENATOR VRTISKA: I'm not sure. I think that...I would...I assumed at the outset that they were one and the same. But I'm not going to say that's for a fact.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And Senator, I have not seen the term deputy director in conjunction with the National Guard. But I'm saying again, I'm not conversant with all of the Nebraska Military Code. But is the term--and maybe somebody in the lobby will tell us that--is the term deputy director a new concept introduced into the National Guard structure?

SENATOR VRTISKA: Well, it's my understanding that it isn't. There's always been a deputy director. But again, we need to clarify that with the representative of the National Guard who's in the back. And I'll do that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then here's the question that goes along with it.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: There must be a director then of the National Guard. Is that true?

SENATOR VRTISKA: That's correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I want to know then why the Adjutant is put