

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 12, 2004 LB 323

place. The difference here is, the way it is now, you have to go to the county treasurer, pay the filing fee, get the receipt and then take it to the clerk or the election commissioner.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But here you will give the money at the same place where you file?

SENATOR STUTHMAN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SENATOR STUTHMAN: Yes, you give the money the same place and then the responsibility of the election commissioner or the clerk would be responsible to submit that money to the treasurer.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now suppose that money is not turned over when it should be. Would your receipt guarantee that you have, in fact, complied with the law by that filing and turning over the money, or is the law silent on that?

SENATOR STUTHMAN: No, there would be a receipt issued. The receipt would be issued. Instead of the treasurer issuing the receipt, you would get the receipt from the election commissioner or the clerk.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But if there is no record in the treasurer's office of your filing fee having been paid, might it not be, since we're dealing with this and creating new law, worthwhile to consider saying that if a person is challenged for not having paid the filing fee, if the receipt is produced, then that assures that the person's filing was legal? I'm not...

SENATOR STUTHMAN: Yeah.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...saying do it now, but think about it and you and I can talk about it because it may not be necessary.

SENATOR STUTHMAN: Yes, because the individual that would have filed for office would be a holder of a receipt, because that individual has paid the receipt. The receipt would not be