

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 12, 2004 LB 227

SENATOR ERDMAN: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I joked with Senator Beutler about his closing on his amendment, and I said that was a really great speech on safety but not so much on freedom. And then he proceeded to convince me that if he could convince me on that, I would change my party affiliation, so it's been kind of interesting. But the issue that I'd like to point out here is if Senator Beutler's comments are correct in his last statement, what we are doing here is relieving parents of responsibility. And, you know, I'm not convinced that if this law passes or not that parents shouldn't continue to question the safety of their child, whether they are with whoever. You know the comment that if this law passes I won't have to question when my kid goes down the street with the neighbor if he is riding in the back of a pickup truck, I think you should still do that. Granted, I'm not a parent. Who knows, maybe never, but I'd like to think that somewhere in the future that I would be a parent and that I would still have the consideration, regardless of what the law is, to look into that. I've also expressed to Senator Aguilar that I don't disagree with his motives, as far as wanting this to be a part of everyday life. I do share the similar concerns that Senator Landis has about are we stepping that boundary, and I think Senator Landis pointed that out, as far as when the battle may have been lost or won. But if I'm interpreting correctly what I'm hearing, and I hope that maybe that's not what I'm hearing but rather that the full compliance with the law is what we're shooting for, not the removal of individuals from their personal responsibilities. So I just kind of wanted to share that with the body in hopes that, regardless of whether we pass LB 227 or not, recognize that just because we passed the law doesn't guarantee that no one ever is going to get hurt again in any situation in regards to this issue. And if you're a parent or you're an individual responsible for those people that would be affected by the passage of this law, that you should still be vigilant in those types of decisions and weigh the decision that you make on whether or not you subject your family members, friends, to that type of risk is worth it. I think that is the real issue here. So I just wanted to share that perspective and I'm sure that that wasn't what I was...that wasn't the intent of those who spoke, but rather to make sure that we're not sending the message to people that, hey, you know what, we've already