

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 12, 2004 LB 227

you had a vehicle, got to have a kid in child restraint. Had I known that at the time, I would have felt a little differently about the child restraint area and I'll tell you why. I think there is a place at which the state can overstep its bounds as a parent; step in and order all of the behaviors, and one of them is being 12 years old in the back of a pickup, going fishing with your dad, going home from someplace, not in a seat belt. It's a bit of an adventure and it's a risk. It's a danger. It's something for which a tragedy could occur, I confess and acknowledge. This is a place where I would give parents and children the power to come up with their own behaviors, weigh the risks and live with the outcomes. I'm not sure this is a place where I would step in with an all-purpose answer, decided in the State Legislature for all circumstances, and saying, you know what, you're a 12-year-old kid, be inside in the cab in a seat belt. Makes perfect sense, I confess it does, but I got to say some of the romance and charm and adventure and risk of life includes doing things which aren't, on the face of them, things that reasonable people would do, including being a kid in the back of a pickup driving home from fishing with your grandfather, and I don't think this is the place where the state needs to act. I can't get to that issue, so I'm going to vote no on LB 227, knowing that it's going to succeed because the time has come and gone. I didn't understand on the day that I voted for the bill that did that that I was surrendering that high ground. I think there's a place for personal choice and free will and for the exchange between parent and the well-being of their child and the taking of risks to be done without the role of the state, and the pickup truck is the one. And so I'm going to vote no on this bill's advantage, understanding that it is not the issue that makes me vote no; that it is, in fact, a clarification and perhaps an improvement of the status of the law, but I don't get a chance to go back to square one where I should have voted red and given this speech. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Landis. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker, I wanted to respond to Senator Stuhr, if I could, just a little bit. She had asked with regard to the transportation of kids in a farm field, for example, and I had indicated that I thought that the law would allow a way