

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 12, 2004 LB 227

see that those children are protected, and maybe, if you think about it, maybe people are more likely to let their children go out and do this if they know they're protected, if they know they're not riding around down the interstate 60 miles an hour in the back of a pickup. At least for myself, if it were my child, that would be a consideration. So maybe it's good for detasseling. Maybe it's good for attracting workers to the farm community. Now, once they get out there, there's a whole other question and I'm not sure that I'm quite prepared to talk about it, I'm going to get some more information, but motor vehicles do not include implements of husbandry, farm implements. Once you're out there in the field, you can probably be hauled around on anything that's not a motor vehicle, which may have some logic and sense to it, because you're probably going slower out there, in a sense. But in any event, this law applies only to motor vehicles and is really designed for vehicles that are on our streets and roads and can go at high speeds. I also wanted to indicate that the penalty...well, first of all, I wanted to be clear that this is a primary...riding in the back of the pickup is a primary offense, but we're not changing the rest of the child restraint law. That's still a secondary offense. We didn't want to get into that. We made this a primary offense because that's what was in Senator Aguilar's bill at the beginning, but with regard to the violation, it's a simple infraction. It's a \$25 fine. Now I think that's less than what Senator Aguilar had in his bill originally. He had \$50. So, in a sense, this is a milder version of his bill--a simple \$25 fine. Is there an enforcement problem? Well, all laws have measures of difficulty with respect to enforcement, but one thing we know is true.

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: If you as a society, if we as a Legislature reflect the feelings of a society that we should not be doing a certain sort of dangerous activity and we make a rule about it, people will tend to follow that rule. Even the seat belt law as a secondary offense was highly effective, because it made people stop and think about what they were doing and to ask themselves, is this really fair to my child? Is this really fair to my neighbor's child? Am I taking some risk here that we need not