

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 12, 2004 LB 559

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Thank you, Senator Cudaback. I'll support the Senator Baker's amendment, FA1462. I just want to be clear, I have no problem with the validation process, Senator Baker. I...obviously there has to be some checks and balances, and a validation process is being undertaken by the department. My concern is, is with regard to putting it into state statute. The Department of Motor Vehicles has currently adopted this practice, they're undergoing this practice, they do this, and I just simply question the necessity of putting it into state statute, just to be clear. Thank you, Senator Cudaback.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Synowiecki. Any further debate on the Baker amendment? Seeing no lights on, Senator Baker, you're recognized to close on FA1462.

SENATOR BAKER: Senator Cudaback, I think--I turned my light on--I'd like to speak to this. Bill Drafting has revised that amendment, so we're going to substitute the Bill Drafters' amendment from upstairs for this. There's a reference to a section that's not in the FA1462, he's saying. Could I substitute that amendment, please, for the one, FA1462?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Mr. Clerk.

SENATOR BAKER: And it's the same amendment, other than it references a subsection (d) instead of just (b)...it has (b) and (d) in it; and adds the words--and I don't have a copy of it in front of me--it references the act; rather than "act," it says the Department of Motor Vehicles license registration act...operator's act.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Mr. Clerk.

ASSISTANT CLERK: Mr. President, as I understand it, Senator Baker, you're withdrawing FA1462 and you're offering AM2609. (Legislative Journal page 613.)

SENATOR BAKER: That's correct. It is the same...

SENATOR CUDABACK: No objection, so ordered.