

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 11, 2004 LB 559

change in what we're doing to prevent identity theft and so on. Senator Redfield has worked so hard on this. Senator Bourne made a statement or comment about what...we're changing the way this bill was drafted. What we're changing is current law. We're not talking about amending an amendment here with this amendment of Senator Synowiecki's; we're changing the law as it exists now. We require validation of a Social Security card to get an ID card in the state of Nebraska, or driver's license. That's in statute now, folks. We're not changing. This amendment is changing current statutes, not something that's proposed to change in the bill. We have to be careful what we're doing here. There were 385,228 Social Security checks done in 2003 by DMV. Of those 385,000, 3,000 applicants were initially denied when applying for permits. Out of that 3,000 initially denied, 2,024 of them resolved the problem, had a document issued. That leaves, out of 385,000-plus, 997 were denied either a state ID card or a driver's license. And here's the reasons they were denied: Social Security format was invalid, it just did not exist; the name is a nonmatch with the number provided, the name and number don't match; the date of birth is a nonmatch. These are pretty serious things, that people don't have the right date of birth, wrong name, and so on, on a Social Security card they're taking...or using for ID, as opposed to what they're using to obtain the driver's license, saying, this is my name; it comes back, it's not a nonmatch. Pretty serious violation there. Unable to process a request; bad status on the Social Security database, such as a deceased person, Social Security number, and so on. So out of all these people, we're changing...Senator Synowiecki is proposing to change existing statute now, to open it up for a few people. Senator Bourne said he had three constituents. I know I got a letter from a person in Omaha concerned about two people. Do we want to open this up for, in this case, a total of 997 applicants across the state to simply not have to furnish a Social Security card number to get this? They can use, as Senator Synowiecki's amendment proposes to do, a valid United States-based identification as approved by the director. I don't think we want to go there. We've worked hard on identity theft. We use these Social Security numbers for other things here too. They're using these checks now for child support enforcement issues. If we don't have to furnish one, I