

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 11, 2004 LB 279

that we show a historical average that I pointed out over the last 10 or...well, about 15 years. We do lose registrations, and with new license plates only issued every six years, that obvious late plate is not going to be as obvious, when you only have...when you're going to have stickers, than for five years; new plate, five years of stickers. So hopefully law enforcement will be able to pick up on this and encourage these registrations, but this will provide somewhat of a small penalty to encourage registrations. So perhaps the loss in revenue that showed on the sheet, which is roughly \$1.5 million up to \$2.2 million in loss, will not occur. So this is a small effort. Once again, I recognize that this is a snowball rolling downhill that the public believes, others believe, many in this body believe that a registration...or new plates every six years is adequate. I give in, but this is an alternative to that, so I urge adoption of this amendment.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, I'd like to ask Senator Wehrbein a question.

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Wehrbein, would you yield, please?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Wehrbein, didn't we do something like this the other day on this bill?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And what does this do? Is this...

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: This clarifies that if no affidavit is filed, which is...is simply...as I said, if you do an affidavit you do not have to pay these back taxes because you've said that you're not using that vehicle. But this adds then if that affidavit is proven to be false, and that...sometimes I think that it's falsely certified that these are up on blocks or is not being driven, then there is a penalty and late fees, if required, shall be paid prior to the issuance of a new registration or