

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 10, 2004 LB 1049

summer, either whether it was on construction, or something they could do. Or they would do some delivery work for a company, and it was a full-time job, and then as that job folded up in the fall of the year, why, they would look for workmen's compensation. It was...it's usually people on the low end of the Social Security benefits scale, because anyone that's making very much money, or getting very much money from Social Security, usually isn't looking for a job anyway.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes. Thank you. Well, I generally have supported Senator Cunningham. I will say in...I wasn't going to support yours, Senator Loudon, but if it's seasonal, I have no real problem with that. Many employers that are seasonal figure that into their package, that they expect their employees, as I understand it, to go on unemployment during the winter, because they're paying in all summer, and they don't mind getting some return on their money. There's the other circumstances that I think Senator Cunningham is talking about, that...where there's, I call it, serious abuse. People work for a short time, just long enough to get in unemployment. Then they go...and they get fired for whatever reason, mostly because they're probably not a good employee, and then they draw down from that employer the unemployment. And many times it's just as hard on the employer to pay for someone that's not working. They just as well have the person working there and getting a little out of it as to pay the unemployment that they have to pay. And that's what I really would understand that Senator Cunningham is getting at. Whether he can do that by drawing it more narrowly I don't know. I suspect we know what we're talking about, but it's difficult to get at it. I think Senator Chambers makes a point, when you take out the range of seven to ten. I understand that. But I have also heard anecdotally of situations where that's exactly what happens. Somebody is fired for pretty serious cause, filching money, or things like that, and almost immediately--obviously that's for good cause, I think, or it's not good cause--and they end up going immediately down and drawing unemployment. And that's what infuriates employers, because they not only lost the money, but they're also paying for that person for unemployment. And so it's a frustrating situation. And whether Senator Cunningham can draw this narrowly enough to get what I think most of us consider serious