

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 10, 2004 LB 1049

especially in these economic times, versus...and that individual has absolutely no income, versus an individual who is retired and receiving Social Security benefits and then being able to qualify for unemployment. I'm not sure that someone who receives Social Security should be able to qualify for unemployment. And Senator Beutler has...although he has a very good point, in that he says that that shouldn't be considered income, but the point, in my mind, of what unemployment is, is a life raft, a life raft. Okay, maybe \$200 a week isn't going to keep you from losing your house, but maybe it will keep you in groceries. And that's the whole point of it. But I'm struggling, because if an individual is already getting some sort of an income--and I'll tell you, my folks are retired and living off Social Security as well as their savings, and they have quite a nice living. And so Social Security benefits aren't insignificant. I see a huge difference between, you know, a 40- or 50-year-old individual who might have no training and zero income, versus the 67- or 70-year-old individual who's receiving Social Security benefits and yet that's counted against their income. So I'm kind of struggling as to what to do with Senator Louden's amendment. I understand what he's trying to do. But again, those people have some income, versus the other people that might get unemployment, who don't have any income whatsoever. And I did want to ask Senator Schimek a question about her amendment, but I see that she's on the phone. One thing I wanted to talk about briefly though is, when I had mentioned my constituent and her inability to find a job, I had a conversation with...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BOURNE: ...another member here towards the back of the room, and he said, well, surely she could find a job somewhere. Well, I think things are lean. In this morning's paper, there's an article that the title is "2,300 apply for Hilton jobs." There's a hotel opening up in Omaha; 2,300 people applied for a job there. "Nearly 1,100 job-seekers turned out Sunday to apply for 300 positions at Omaha's new downtown Hilton Hotel. All told, the weekend job fair at the Scott Conference Center attracted 2,300 applicants, said Keith Hess, the hotel manager." So jobs are scarce. And I'm concerned that even increasing the