

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 10, 2004 LB 139A

looks the same way. Nebraska, per citizen, is paying three, four, and five times as much in business incentives as are citizens of other states. And hence, you can start to gain an appreciation of the burden, relatively speaking, that we're putting on our citizens, and the burden, perhaps more importantly, that we're putting on our other institutions by virtue of taking this money out of our revenue stream. And that shows up in our failure to be able to maintain our path on property taxes, by not properly funding K-12 education, by our failure to not properly fund the biggest business generating organization in this state, which is the University of Nebraska, and our inability and difficulty when it comes to funding even a \$33,000 DNA analyst. I have always supported the LB 775 incentives, and I've always supported being entirely competitive with the other states. But, folks, this information, unless it can be somehow refuted, which I don't think it can be, indicates that we have an unfocused, overly ambitious, burdensome business incentive program, and we ought to be taking a very serious look at it this year. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Johnson, there are no further lights on, senators wishing to speak. You're recognized to close on the advancement of LB 139A, if you care to.

SENATOR JOHNSON: Well, Senator Cudaback, members of the Chamber, we've probably gone on longer about this, but wanted to have some discussion about this, because of the absence of the senators when this was passed, and look forward to working with Senator Chambers and Brashear and others when the main bill comes up. There's no doubt in my mind of the high-principled additions that they want to make to the bill. I think all of us want to make sure that it is the best possible law that can come out of this Chamber. So I look forward to working with them. Let's go back over this very quickly. And what it is, is this. For the first year, the funding is completely taken care of using the Public Safety Cash Fund. The State Patrol is in agreement with this. There's \$63,000 for testing, because of the backlog, and then \$33,000 for the position. Next year, the testing drops back to about \$25,000, but again, this is covered with the Public Safety Cash Fund. The General Fund will be