

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 10, 2004 LB 139A, 139

four major objectives. One was to add robbery and burglary to the people that were tested, since there was a very strong connection between these two events and the statute that is already on the books about the sexual predators. So this added a new category of people to be tested. One of the other things that was of concern is that there did not seem to be a system established to see that the DNA samples were gathered at all levels. In particular, there was the aspect, no question about the people that were in the State Penitentiary, that they would be tested, but there was a question about those in our county jails, and also amongst those that are on probation. So this bill allows and very clearly states who this would be. The third important part, of course, is the funding, and that's what we're here to talk about today. At the end of our discussion last week, and literally at the fifty-ninth minute of the eleventh hour, I was handed a note from our State Patrol, stating that they could not take part in the funding for this. I expressed that I was somewhat disappointed in them at that time, of coming at this late time to inform us of the situation. What the good part of it is, is this, is that we did meet with the State Patrol subsequently to that, and particularly our colleague Senator Beutler had found funds that seemed to be available, and with ongoing discussions with the State Patrol, we believe that this has essentially been resolved. Here's what the situation is. We would use Public Safety Cash Fund to cover the entire cost the first year. The first year is the expensive one, because you have to make up \$63,000 for the testing and \$33,000 for the position that we're suggesting being created. After that, the Public Safety Cash Fund would cover the testing only, and we would be responsible, that is the General Fund, for \$33,000 to fund that position. As is the usual case with federal programs like this, they will get you started, and then you have to support them if you think they're worthwhile after that. So with that, I would like to acknowledge Senator Beutler for any comments that he might have.

SENATOR CUDABACK: You've heard the opening on LB 139A by Senator Johnson. Open for discussion. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, in its present form I am opposed to the underlying bill, LB 139.