

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 9, 2004 LB 841

don't happen to agree that, just because the word "exclusively" is in there, doesn't necessarily mean that that's exclusively what they do. Religious organizations do other things that are not included in what you're talking about, so I happen to disagree with what you're saying.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But the only ones that get the exemption are those who are exclusively for religious purposes, and if the statute says "exclusively," it means exclusively, or to the exclusion of everything else.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Well, obviously, we don't agree on that issue, but then you for you and me for me and that's the way it ends up. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Vrtiska. Senator Chambers, and this will be your third time, as you know.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, the easy way out on this one this morning is to say that the amendment has not had a hearing. I do not disagree with that. I know that if there were 25 people, including myself, who would vote for such an amendment, were they free to vote according to what they think is right, we would adopt this. I believe there are 25 people who would vote if they were not afraid of consequences. What consequences do they fear? Well, before we talk about the consequences, let's look at whom they fear. They fear religious people. Why should they fear religious people? The dominant religion in this society is Christian. The main thing Jesus told these Christians to do is to love one another. So if the first principle the head of the dominant religion gave was to base your conduct on love, you should not have to worry about retaliation or payback from religious people no matter what you do. But when we deal with abortion and other type issues, the religious people are the ones that the senators fear. You don't look for love from those people. You look for vengeance, revenge, and retaliation, and, yet, they claim to be