

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 9, 2004 LB 841

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, suppose we'd had the hearing and it was before us now.

SENATOR VRTISKA: But you...what you...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Would that...would your...

SENATOR VRTISKA: ...what you...what you've put up an amendment hasn't been before us. That hasn't been before a committee.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But suppose the amendment, suppose it had taken the form of a bill and what is an amendment being offered to Senator Byars' bill was the bill itself and it had had a hearing and the committee advanced it, and it was before us as it is now. You would not know any more because it went to a hearing before a committee than you know now with its not having gone before the committee.

SENATOR VRTISKA: I would know when it come to the floor, if it was a bill, what it was and not be able to mix it in with what Senator Byars is trying to do for the people he's talking about. So it's an altogether different scenario when you try to almost, in a sense, in some eyes of some, destroy a bill that's worthwhile because you put an unattractive amendment on it. And that's the way I look at it.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But let's look at it not as something that has to stay on Senator Byars' bill or even be added to his bill. I'm not going to let this bring his bill down. Take it independent of Senator Byars' bill,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...and look at the idea. Do you think an operation that exists exclusively for religious purposes, that's how they get under this, exclusively for religion, if they do anything outside of religion, they're not covered anyway, even if they're a religious activity.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Well, I think you're putting things into the whole issue that aren't there, and that's your privilege, but I