

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 9, 2004 LB 841

SENATOR KREMER: Well, they could.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...they could still do it.

SENATOR KREMER: They could do some of those services that were any other nonprofit group, I would think, but I don't know what your intention was.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, what I'm dealing with are those whose goal is exclusively religious. To provide the services needed for the blind that are not religious, they would not be affected by this. That operation is not exclusively for religious purposes. If they do anything other than religion then they don't fit under what I'm talking about.

SENATOR KREMER: Okay. Could...could a...does a...is a religious group or church right now that does a business, has a ranch somewhere and does business with...like anything else, are they subject to tax now, or are they excluded from any tax?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'm not talking about real estate in this amendment, but I believe if it's considered an activity which is an adjunct to the church, they don't have to pay taxes. That's my understanding.

SENATOR KREMER: Okay. Well,...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Somebody who is more knowledgeable in that area may disagree.

SENATOR KREMER: I guess not having a public hearing, not really knowing what the unintended consequences are, I'm reluctant to support this, even though I do believe if they're...produce...or conducting a business or something for profit, then there should be some...that they should not be excluded from that. But I don't know if they could be included in that group that's a nonprofit group, so I was trying to clarify. So I guess I still am not sure. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Kremer. Senator Chambers.