

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 9, 2004 LB 969

SENATOR SCHROCK: Yes, that is...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: All kinds of entities can...

SENATOR SCHROCK: That is correct, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. Now the power is going to be generated by a project consisting of one or more electric-generating facilities, so we'll say it consists of two, in order to get to my question.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now, under the purchase agreement, the public entity will agree that if one of these operations breaks down, does not generate enough power, or whatever happens, thereby requiring the other participant to produce more power, the public entity is going to continue to make payments; will agree to accept and pay for additional power generated even if there is no power produced, none obtained, if the project is not completed, operable, or operating, and all these other things. Is that true or false?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Senator Chambers, I think it's true. Now I...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: What sense would it make...

SENATOR SCHROCK: ...I'd like to say with some certainty that it is true, but I believe it is true.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right. We're just discussing now to help me get...

SENATOR SCHROCK: I understand.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...an understanding, because I don't know whether I agree with the bill or not because I don't really know whether I understand it. We have two entities that make up the project. If one fails and the other is able to produce more energy, it will generate more power. But whether the entity, the city that's a part of this agreement, purchases or obtains