

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 9, 2004 LB 906

bill comes before us again. Senator Bourne made a good point about Section 40, and it can be considered along with the other items. So what I'm looking to have considered would be the language that my amendment deals with on page 20. But instead of just lines 5 through 8, I would be interested in looking at lines 5 through 14. Then the 6,000 hours already is one of those matters I believe we can massage and come to an agreement on. On page 16, I have another question I would like to ask Senator Stuhr.

PRESIDENT HEINEMAN: Senator Stuhr.

SENATOR STUHR: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Stuhr, in Section 35, starting on line 18, we see the requirements that an applicant for registration, as an apprentice, must meet. When we get down to line 23 is this language: "has not undertaken any training in body art after January 1, 2005, without being registered as an apprentice." Why is that language in there? In other words, to ask it a different way, what difference does it make if this person who is now seeking registration as an apprentice has taken some training before seeking that application? What difference would it make?

SENATOR STUHR: I am not sure. What we were trying to do was set up an apprentice who could study. They would have to register as an apprentice and then they would study under that trained person...

PRESIDENT HEINEMAN: One minute.

SENATOR STUHR: ...that would have the license. But...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But if...if a person...

SENATOR STUHR: Right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...had sought this training...

SENATOR STUHR: Uh-huh.