

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 9, 2004 LB 906

a couple of weeks. So the fact that a person has 6,000 hours doesn't ensure that he or she is safe. The fact that a person has fewer than 6,000 does not ensure that that person is not safe. Oh, I'm not going to question you anymore, but I do want to ask you, do you at least see the kind of reasoning that I'm using...

SENATOR STUHR: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...in questioning you? Okay.

SENATOR STUHR: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Members of the Legislature, in the past, Senator Stuhr has brought a bill and it winds up before the Judiciary Committee because it took a more narrow, specific approach to criminalizing certain activities, and I had told her, because I always fought that, if the approach was more one of regulation and licensure, I could more easily accept that because we're putting in place a statewide standard which everybody must meet and we're not criminalizing the conduct. I would like to ask Senator Stuhr one other question, because I haven't had a chance to thoroughly go through the amendment yet. Senator Stuhr,...

SENATOR STUHR: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...violation of this bill would result in some kind of administrative sanctions, such as revocation or suspension of license, rather than a criminal penalty. Is that correct?

SENATOR STUHR: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. And I just wanted to be sure, before I went on,...

PRESIDENT HEINEMAN: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...that I was not misstating what's in the bill. I think we should do something about that 6,000 hours,