

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 6, 2004 LB 227

concept with the existing law, and not to change his concept at all and not to change existing law to the extent...not to change existing law either, to the extent that I could put them together without changing either one and not...and try to stay uninvolved with the issues, but be sure that the law was clear and integrated and unified.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. Your having said that, now I can ask my question. If Senator Aguilar is willing to accept Senator Schimek's amendment that would strike this exception, with that understanding would you be willing to pull your amendment at this time? Because I won't pull my two until I am assured that you will pull yours, and hers will then be the very next amendment that we will bring up and...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Is she...is she amending the bill?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, then what...then what I would think would be a good way to go, assuming Senator Aguilar would be amenable to it, would be to refile my amendment without that provision, without that exception. Then you'd get the benefit of the integration of the law without the provision that you wanted, or if you were trusting enough to allow us to get to my amendment, then you could amend my amendment, amend it out. But that takes a level of trust that...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I like what you said...

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...that only a positive,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...friendly attitude would be capable of giving.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I like what you said about refiling it. Now, so it won't...thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Quandahl, are you here? Because I didn't want to seem to be ignoring him, but Senator Beutler's amendment was the more extensive of the two.