

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 6, 2004 LB 227

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members. I apologize but I'm just getting tuned in to the discussion here this morning and I would like to ask Senator Chambers a question or two, if I might.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers, would you respond?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thy wish is my command.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Oh, great. Senator Chambers, am I understanding that your argument is that we should take Senator Aguilar's bill as it is instead of putting in all these exceptions? Or am I misunderstanding your arguments here?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: The bill, as it is, contains the exception. I'm saying the exception should be taken out. But since Senator Aguilar feels that he wouldn't have a chance to take it out, Senator Quandahl offered an amendment that would modify that exception to say that in order for it to apply the vehicle could not be traveling at a rate of speed above 25 miles per hour.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Okay, so the...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And Senator Aguilar opposes that too.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: I'm sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's all right.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: The exceptions in Senator Aguilar's bill, and maybe I should be talking to Senator Aguilar about this, but as I...as I look at the committee statement, it says, therefore, employees with the necessity to discharge a duty within a truck body, in a space intended for merchandise or cargo; and then the vehicles in a parade, caravan or exhibition officially authorized or permitted by law; or the person is secured with a restraint system. Those, to me, seem like logical exceptions, and when you get into exceptions regarding how fast the vehicle is going, those don't seem too logical to me because you don't have control over another vehicle at that point. But am I...am I misunderstanding here?