

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 5, 2004 LB 906

opposition. This Mr. Joseph Smith, Monty Vogel, are they...that testified neutral, are they tattoo artists or body artists? Is that what they do?

SENATOR STUHR: Yes. Yes, they were. And actually, the reason they came out in a neutral position was they felt that the bill did not go far enough. They thought it should even be stricter. They felt that no one under 18 should receive tattoos particularly; no one under 16 should receive piercings. So they came in in a neutral because they very much supported the bill, but there were some provisions that they thought should even be stricter in nature.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Senator Stuhr, I appreciate that, and it makes perfect sense to me. I just wanted to see if you had some dialogue and conversation with the industry,...

SENATOR STUHR: Yes.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: ...and particular with Senator Bourne's concern with regard to the number of hours. They didn't have any concerns, this Mr. Joseph Smith and Mr. Vogel don't have any concerns with regard to the number of hours of training needed to qualify for a license under your bill?

SENATOR STUHR: I understand there still needs to be possibly some clarification, you know, on that number of hours. But they really felt, again, that the bill didn't go far enough. And I tried to explain to them that many of the details would be included in the rules and regs, and there would be hearings on those rules and regs, and so they would have an opportunity to even come further to voice their opinions.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Well, thank you, Senator Stuhr. I appreciate the dialogue. And I'll return the rest of my time to the Chair. Thank you.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Synowiecki and Senator Stuhr. Senator Bourne.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you, Mr. President, members. If Senator