

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 4, 2004 LR 14

by the way, whatever happens here today, it will not stop. If we say eight casinos, there will be a bill next year, and if that passes, to expand that to nine. If we see 10, it will expand it to 11, and so that it will never go away, it will never stop. There will always be an urge to do more and more. Iowa has had that. They put a moratorium, as a matter of fact, on the number of casinos, and there are those that want to change that. But on the Health and Human Services Committee, Senator Tyson mentioned it briefly, we deal with addiction, we deal with substance abuse. He mentioned there aren't enough dollars in a Compulsive Gambling Fund to take care of all those who need treatment. That's absolutely true. Please recognize also that those who have an addiction to alcohol and drugs have a propensity in many cases, it's in some studies, to also become addicted to gambling. And so we are looking at increasing the social cost to our society. There have been studies that have been done, that I have yet to see anybody refute those, that for every dollar a state takes in, in gambling revenue, they'll spend \$3 in social cost for that. But a very, very large issue, and Senator Erdman mentioned that, is the fact that once we start down this road, also I'm concerned that this body will be controlled by gambling interests. They will find people to run. They will fund those individuals to support their particular issue. And, Senator Beutler, who has worked very hard on campaign financing, I'm just concerned that in the future gambling will control this body and also will control the entire government process that we have here in Nebraska. Also looking at some of the other states that have brought gambling into their...into their state and looking at the individuals that voted for that and how I have followed some of those and there have been many statements that if they could change one vote in their political career it would have been that vote to expand gambling, to bring gambling into a state. And certainly now in two years we will start down that road of term limits and half of this body will turnover, approximately half, and then in the future with gambling before it I wonder how many of those people running for office will be supported by those gambling interests. It is a never-ending situation that we start down. When I look back at my political career, I don't want to look back and, ten years from now, and say that I supported expanding gambling in this state, and then look back also and see how