

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 3, 2004 LB 32

SENATOR SCHROCK: Senator Chambers, I do not know. The amendment was entered into the record last Thursday. We tried to get it in there so people had the weekend to look at it. But the people I've talked to, the cities and the counties and the people who have been working on this who represent people like the motel owners and the shopping malls and the parking lots and the car dealers, to my understanding, they're okay with this.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Are those groups you mentioned the only ones whose property taxes would be involved?

SENATOR SCHROCK: No, everybody would be involved. If you own property, you're going to be involved.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Even if your property does not contribute to the problem that the bill is designed to correct?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Well, I would argue that most property does contribute to the problem. But certainly some property contributes more than others.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: In the original form of LB 32, which was the property envisioned as causing the problem.

SENATOR SCHROCK: The property that had the most impervious surfaces, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: How many...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...residences would that have involved? In other words, let me ask it a different way, was residential property considered to be the cause of the problem, or was it commercial property?

SENATOR SCHROCK: It's a combination of both, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Which led to the introduction of LB 32--the problem created by residential property, or commercial property?