

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 3, 2004 LB 227

question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Aguilar, would you respond?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Aguilar, you had mentioned some terrible accidents that had occurred, and in some instances, or at least one, a child died. Did I understand you correctly?

SENATOR AGUILAR: Yes, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Where did that take place?

SENATOR AGUILAR: The city of Omaha.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now, if the same kind of conduct were engaged in on a county road or in a rural area or even in the city of Omaha, but the child was in the bed of a pickup truck doing some work, and the same circumstances happened, and the child was killed, that would not be a violation of the law, would it?

SENATOR AGUILAR: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If the same child were in his daddy's pickup truck, in the bed of that pickup truck, but not doing work for his daddy, and the accident occurred and that child died, the daddy would be guilty of an offense under your bill. Isn't that true?

SENATOR AGUILAR: That's true.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So the only child whose danger...or endangerment will not result in an offense is the child who is endangered for the benefit of somebody making a profit from that child's labor. Isn't that true?

SENATOR AGUILAR: You put things much differently than I would, but yes, that's true.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's true. Thank you, Senator Aguilar. Members of the Legislature, there are no two ways about it. I wish those people who are so concerned about zygotes, embryos,