

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 2, 2004 LB 172

the Unicameral Update, which I found kind of interesting. And I'd like to read it to you, and it really does concern this issue about the State Board of Education and this particular amendment that you have introduced. This went back to 1997, and it was LB 132, and that was sponsored by Senator Bohlke, and said it would remove the schools...or the burden of informing students in writing of the state's parental notification law. And then it goes on to tell what that is, and it says, currently, each secondary school must devise its own method of informing students of the law. Schools distribute the information in handouts, direct mailings and student handbooks, which has upset parents who say most students do not need the information, Bohlke said. The bill that Bohlke was introducing that year, LB 132, represented a compromise between school officials and several organizations with abortion concerns. Under the bill's provisions, the toll-free number would be posted in a prominent location at each school, callers would hear a tape-recorded message outlining the law, the final content of the message would be approved by the Director of Health and Human Services and the Commissioner of Education. During floor debate, Senator Kate Witek of Omaha offered an amendment that would have repealed the requirement that schools inform minors of the law and would have allowed the state board to approve the content of the message. I would just like a little more input than from the Department of Health and the Department of Education, Witek said. And then she goes on and later withdrew her amendment, saying she did not want to hold up the bill. Bohlke had worked hard to achieve a compromise with all concerned parties, Witek said. It seems to me they have come to an uneasy peace. And then it says that the uneasiness stemmed from opposition at the bill's hearing by Nebraska Right to Life. The organization's representative said it preferred leaving the law alone because the law allows each school to determine how to inform students instead of placing the entire responsibility on the Health and Education Departments. And then Bohlke said that the organization testified in support of a bill with the same provisions as LB 132 two years ago, and she didn't understand why it had changed its position on the bill this year. I thought common ground had been reached, she said. The previous bill was advanced out of committee with no opposition, died with the end of the '96 Session before it was