

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 2, 2004 LB 172

Senator Schimek a question or two so I won't misstate anything about her amendment.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Schimek, would you respond?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Schimek, am I correct in saying that this is the amendment that those different groups worked on and it at one time took the form of a bill?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: No, Senator, that was actually the previous amendment that we considered.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, thank you. It occurred to me that I may be confusing some of these amendments and I don't want to misstate. This amendment is a watered-down version of that one, in my opinion. Something is better than nothing. I am supporting Senator Schimek's amendment but I think we ought to make it as easy as possible, as noncontroversial as possible, for a school district or an individual school within that district to comply with the law should Senator Schimek's amendment be adopted. So mine would simply say,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...that the information developed by the State Board of Education would be sufficient to comply with the requirements of the law should Senator Schimek's amendment be adopted. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. On with discussion of the Chambers amendment, after you've heard the opening, FA1439. Senator Schimek, followed by Senator Chambers.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members. I think Senator Chambers is right. I think we need to put this