

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 29, 2004 LB 172

That it's more important to stop the information from occurring, probably because in the vast majority of cases it would be superfluous and unnecessary, and in some cases certainly offensive to the beliefs of the parents, than it is to provide for those cases in which children do not have a legitimate, appropriate, loving parental figure who would be able to advise them wisely, who in fact might well be self-interested. At...in both cases, I think we're talking about the extremes, because the extremes that I'm concerned about certainly are not the majority. They constitute a minority of cases, but a very real amount. And I think the vast number of students receive this information and it comes their homes and it's without incident whatsoever. On the other hand, there are some who are offended by it. But between the two, who pays the greater price? Somebody who feels a sense of having been imposed upon by a state that doesn't reflect their values? Well, gosh, it's pretty easy there, don't you think? It's pretty easy just to ignore it, just pretty easy to rip it up, to have a conversation with your child or have your...you know, the child bring it to the attention of the parents, particularly in the context of this loving relationship. But that's not what's at stake on the other side of these two extremes. The other situation, you've got a child that's in trouble, facing a life-threatening circumstance. Pregnancy is a life-threatening circumstance, whether it's carried to term or not. As a matter of fact, pregnancy carried to term has considerably more risks and is in fact itself a life-threatening situation than abortion is. Those circumstances being turned over to parents or the people who are in charge of the well-being of the child, when those people are not worthy of that responsibility. In Lincoln, Nebraska, five-month-old girl found wandering around out in the cold without adequate clothing. Is it more important to make sure the schools are silent, or that the child of that kind of a parent get reliable information? I say it's more important for the child of that kind of a parent to get reliable information than it is to impose on the school. In Gering, a man stomped and kicked his seven-year-old stepson with work boots. Now, is it more important to silence the schools, or to see that a child in that kind of a home gets a source of information independent of the parents? Three-year-old Lincoln girl scalded by her father in 120-degree temperatures in a bathtub.