

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 29, 2004 LB 172

SENATOR SCHIMEK: About it or of it.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: You're oblivious of it. Some people will say they are enamored with; it's enamored of. And sometimes if they would substitute a word that they are more familiar with, then they would never forget how it goes. They will say something is comprised of. It's not comprised of. You say such-and-such a thing comprises things. "Of" doesn't follow comprise,...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Could it be...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...so this is in that category.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Could it be comprised for, Senator?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: It can be, but you'd be grammatically incorrect.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Not necessarily. Now let me think of a good example, but the word "comprise" means to put together, right?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: It means to encompass or enclose.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Encompass or enclose, and you could do that for a specific purpose, could you not?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: You can, but when you are using a grammatical construction, the word "comprise" is what you need. Like if you're going to say this movie comprises Shakespeare's work, some people would say this movie is comprised of Shakespeare's work, but that's incorrect. Comprise could be like the word "include." This movie includes Shakespeare's works; not, this movie includes of Shakespeare's work.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. I'm...I guess I have to go back to my grammar books and check all this out, so, and I just ended a sentence in a preposition which I shouldn't have done. I'm sorry. I have some further thoughts that I would like to talk about with the rest of my time here. And, you know, when we were talking about the whole parental notification concept, it recalled for me the time that I was in college, and