

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 28, 2004 LB 449

SENATOR SCHROCK: Yes, there is. There is, and I...

SENATOR STUTHMAN: There is?

SENATOR SCHROCK: ...don't have a copy of that schedule with me, but if you want, I could have that on Select File and we could go over that schedule, if you'd like.

SENATOR STUTHMAN: But the only difference that we're talking about is years ago they did not have to pay an initial fee.

SENATOR SCHROCK: They did not have to pay for the initial application.

SENATOR STUTHMAN: But they have to pay for the...for the annual inspections as a regular basis.

SENATOR SCHROCK: That is true.

SENATOR STUTHMAN: Is...

SENATOR SCHROCK: And depending on the size of the emitter depends on how often they're inspected.

SENATOR STUTHMAN: Yes. Is there anything in the regulations or anything that if a company does not emit as much pollutants as they have the year before, they've done so many things, you know, to prevent that, that they can change some things as far as how many loads they can get? And what I'm referring to is the plant that's in Columbus, the ADM plant right now. You know, they've got a 300-truck limit in a 24-hour period, and that is really a problem created. What the...what they have done is they've railed a lot of corn in, but the more corn they rail in eliminates the competitiveness in the local area. And the more corn that they process, there's more trucks going out, so that eliminates trucks coming in because of their 300-truck limit that they have. Is there any way that that can be increased as far as the amount of trucks that can come in?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Senator, I'm vaguely familiar with that