

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 28, 2004 LB 559

SENATOR BAKER: Thank you, Senator Bourne. We're on my time, right?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Yes, we are.

SENATOR BAKER: I want to thank Senator Aguilar. As...and I agree with him. As the green copy came to the committee, we obviously struggled with it. We had some serious problems with it. The confiscation issue was completely taken out of the bill. The two forms, requiring two forms of ID, stricken out of it. And we changed this bill dramatically in committee, to where actually it cleans up the language dealing with Social Security number validation. It's always been required. It cleans that language up and allows them to use the available information and process that's out there now. We've been doing it since 2001. We were required to do it since '96. So it gets us up to speed on that. It takes out the references to paper drivers' licenses, and so on, et cetera. I can't deny that there's going to be some...and always will be some county treasurers' employees probably not understanding exactly their job responsibility, what they have to do here. And I...those are not DMV employees. I've got to stress that. We need to work with these county clerks, because they're the people that are representing the DMV, I have to admit to that, but I'm sure there are some new hires out there, and so on. Back to, I guess, the pending amendment, Senator Chambers', I support that, would urge adoption of that amendment, and then, obviously, adoption of committee amendments. And as Senator Bourne and I have been discussing, the language in AM2241 is what strikes the requirement of the two IDs. I know Senator Schimek...it's hard to tie all these together. We've...honestly, we have spent a lot of hours on this bill in committee, and trying to relay to the body just what we have done and how it's changed things for the better, because I also...we never, ever could accept the green copy. Senator Aguilar raised some very valid questions. Although he may not be 100 percent happy with it, we remained at one form of ID, simply clarified some language there, and I think, now, that we have a workable bill. I think it's very workable, in fact, and we need to get it passed. So I would urge the adoption of Senator Chambers' amendment, and then the