

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 28, 2004 LB 559

SENATOR BAKER: ...revoke it or cancel that license or ID card, as the case may be. That's in the bill. A lot of the problems, the heartburn you're having with this green copy, let me tell you, we had the same problem, and that, as I said, is taken out in the committee amendments, the confiscation part of this and the two forms of identification. That's all stricken by the committee amendment. So actually, all that's left of the green copy is the changes in the Social Security number validation. It's already been required. They furnish a Social Security number to apply for either a driver's license or an ID card. That's not changed. That's in the statutes in here. It's on page...I can't...I'm having a hard time remembering what page it's on, but...page 4, on lines 13-14. That's not new language. They have to provide a Social Security number, lines 13 and 14. And it's also on page 28, I believe, replying...or referring to ID cards. That's not changed. What we're doing here is adding the language for validation purposes of that...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR BAKER: ...Social Security card when it's presented. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Baker and Senator Schimek. Senator Bourne, on the Chambers amendment.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you, Mr. President, members. Senator Baker, could we continue our dialogue for just a second?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Baker.

SENATOR BAKER: Yes.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you very much. And again, the bill was...I really didn't talk on the bill before, I don't believe, and it was kind of brought to my attention by several constituents who e-mailed me with some concerns. And as I read through those e-mails again, I think the basic concern is, is that there's already a...and I don't want to be too critical...already, perhaps, some lack of training or knowledge by the DMV or county workers. And their concern is, my