

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 28, 2004 LB 559

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...additional language could be added to it. I stated that. He did not explain what the rationale is for accepting a document for identification purposes from another state, but a document issued by this state can be rejected. I will not ask Senator Baker to explain that, but my amendment would strike those words, "for consideration," and that valid driver's license and valid identification card would serve as identification. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. You've heard the opening on the Chambers amendment, FA1429. Senator Snowiecki, on that amendment.

SENATOR SNOWIECKI: Thank you, Senator Cudaback. Senator Baker, would you continue the...kind of the dialogue we've had going?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Baker.

SENATOR BAKER: Yes, I would.

SENATOR SNOWIECKI: Senator Baker, I've had chance to review the new language for both issuance of a driver's license and issuance of identification card. Appears to me that the Social Security validation piece is new language in both instances, that is, in both the issuance of a license and identification card. Is that your reading as well, Senator?

SENATOR BAKER: That is correct. Technology has changed, and that's why it's added to this bill. Yes.

SENATOR SNOWIECKI: What's the philosophical reason for this new validation process for both identification card and driver's license issuance?

SENATOR BAKER: Well, the process until now did not exist to validate those Social Security numbers that's in the current process. And it's simply adding the language to enable the Department of Motor Vehicles personnel to access this