

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 28, 2004 LB 559

in that, because when the committee amendment strikes that, that's okay with me. These two words, "for consideration," don't make sense to me. So I would like to ask Senator Baker a few questions about that.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Baker, would you respond to a question from Senator Chambers?

SENATOR BAKER: Yes, I would.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Baker, why does the committee insert the words "for consideration for identification" instead of letting this documentation, a valid Nebraska operator's license or the valid identification card, serve as identification?

SENATOR BAKER: Senator Chambers, just reading it, "for"...the words "for consideration" would imply to me that it gives them, the examiner, more flexibility if they should consider what, you know, the individual may have some underlying questions about the actual validity of that operator's license.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do you think that more credence ought to be given to a valid Nebraska driver's license, or what purports to be a valid driver's license from another state? Which one should be given more consideration?

SENATOR BAKER: I would think the state Nebraska driver's license should be given more consideration.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then will you rise with me to line 11 on page 6?

SENATOR BAKER: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: You see that that language about the valid Nebraska operator's license is stricken, and then this new language down at the bottom, in effect, picks that up with some changes. So I want us to look in line 12, with the language that's left: "a valid operator's license from another state or jurisdiction of the United States." That will be accepted, not for consideration, but accepted. When it comes to having a