

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 28, 2004 LB 559

second trip to obtain her license. There was no question about the validity of my passport as a supporting document. The young woman was not skilled in knowing the requirements and how to apply them. And this is a woman here who has a graduate degree, born and raised in Omaha, Nebraska, and had troubles getting a license because of the identification requirement. And again, Senator Baker, I'm just trying to get up to speed on what the new language requires and how...and why my constituent...are her concerns justified?

SENATOR BAKER: Senator Bourne, I cannot defend what that person did, because it's in the statutes now that only one form...stated on line 15 of page 6 of the green copy, a valid United States passport is a viable means of identification to provide to the driver's license examiner, in this case, to issue a driver's license. I can't defend the actions of that person, and I would assume that their supervisor probably rectified the problem and...

SENATOR BOURNE: Are you placing additional identification requirements in the statute, in order to obtain a driver's license?

SENATOR BAKER: No, we are not. The committee amendment took that out, Senator Bourne.

SENATOR BOURNE: Okay.

SENATOR BAKER: There...it...the green copy of the bill--she probably was referring to that--required two forms of proof of identification. That's on line 8 and 9 of page 6. That is taken out by the subsequent amendment. I believe it's AM2241. It's taken out of that...out of the bill completely.

SENATOR BOURNE: Okay, so there are no additional documentation or proof of identification requirements in your green copy, or in the bill, if it were to pass?

SENATOR BAKER: If we adopt the committee amendment and the subsequent amendment, it does not change, Senator Bourne. It's still one form of identification.