

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 28, 2004 LB 559

are required to obtain a driver's license. Section 6 is removed totally by the committee amendment. That was the section that would have confiscated documents. The county treasurers did not want to get into the process of trying to confiscate what they considered in their judgment to be false documents. So the committee amendment totally removes that. Section 7, page 9, for those who are following along, it creates a similar penalty for people who participate in the falsification of documents, identity documents. We've had some problems with this. It simply harmonizes or puts into statute the same penalties as people who are now convicted of providing improper disclosure of DMV information and so on, under the Uniform Records Disclosure Act. Section 8 would have tied the expiration date of newly issued driver's license to the same expiration date on INS documents. That was removed totally by AM0496, the committee amendments. That simply meant, if someone came in, had the correct documents, immigration documents, but they expired, say, in one year, the driver's license would have been issued for only one year. That is taken out by the committee amendments, that requirement, or that stipulation. Section 10 on page 11, that adds a fee for endorsement. That's someone comes in to change the endorsement, say, on a CDL, a commercial driver's license, something like that, the fee is changed for that, instead of \$11.25. That's the same amount as a duplicate or replacement fees for other licenses. So that's harmonizing that language. Section 13 is cleanup language that takes some wording out of there, commercial drivers' schools, training schools, and so on, broadens the scope of what they can do and how they actually participate in that. That's also in Section 19. Section 22 requires a valid Social Security number for issuance of a state identification card. There again, that is simply an update. And then Section 23 changes the operative date. Since this was a bill introduced last session and we haven't gotten to it till now, the operative date had to be changed, and that's done, actually, in AM2241. I'd be glad to answer any questions. I think the committee amendment clarifies some issues, and takes some of the requirements out. And the confiscation language that was in the green copy is stricken by the committee amendment. And would ask for adoption of the amendment. Be glad to answer any questions. Thank you.