

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 27, 2004 LB 762

the other side of this issue to consider what's happening here. This practice I do not believe is authorized by the statute. I'll be so bold as to assert it is not authorized by statute, and this Accountability and Disclosure Commission is off on a frolic of its own with some very dangerous consequences. Consider with me, you recall that there are a very small number of races where we have one candidate, quote, abiding by the law, and another candidate not abiding by the law. Since the abiding candidate is subject to the spending limit, he or she will not be in a position to solicit large donations. But the abiding candidate could request that a contributor donate money to the Campaign Finance Limitation Act Cash Fund, and now that the Accountability and Disclosure Commission has on its own, without statutory authorization, decided that it will accept private donations, that would ensure that there are sufficient monies present to give the abiding candidate the money that they qualify under the act. That may seem farfetched, but the commission has set the precedent by accepting the private donations to the fund. A private donor to the fund would have a good idea of what candidates may be eligible for public funds under the act, and could use the fund as a way to funnel money to those candidates, all the while allowing those candidates to appear to be clean, virtuous candidates who are abiding by the law. Moreover, because there's no provision for the Accountability and Disclosure Commission to be taking these private donations into this Campaign Limitation Act Fund, there's no requirement that the donors ever be disclosed. So I want to respectfully suggest, here we go again. Every, so to speak, period of time in which we work with this law, we find more and more things like that. Mr. President, how much time do I have, please?

SENATOR CUDABACK: You have about one, thirty-three.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Thank you. A further issue. There is no fallback provision for the funding that's required. So in the event that candidates qualify and there's no money in the fund, we have what's called a race to the courthouse. That's not a fair outcome, if you come in second or third and the money is all gone. In Massachusetts, the lack of funds to pay for its campaign finance act...