

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 27, 2004 LB 762

the ideas...not the ideas behind the bill, but simply the act itself. And I want to respectfully suggest it's not only flawed, it's hopelessly flawed. First, the spending limits are so low as to be ridiculous and even degrading in the offices involved. And that's my statement and I stand behind it. Take, for example, because it's been spoken of by myself and others, the Board of Regents. A candidate for the Board of Regents seeks to represent 200,000 Nebraskans and make decisions with regard to a \$1.3 billion university budget, and we expect that person to get elected for \$25,000. That's the limit in the act for the general election period. That's less than 15 cents per constituent. As one would expect, in recent closely contested regent elections, as I stated, candidates simply opted out. We go around saying, we have a Campaign Finance Limitation Act, we have a Campaign Finance Limitation Act, don't we feel good, we have a Campaign Finance Limitation Act. And all you have to do to get out from under the act is say, I'm out from under the act, and then we don't have a Campaign Finance Limitation Act, even if we say we do. Second, the source of funds is limited and legally suspect. There is, obviously, no chance that a General Fund appropriation will be made to the Campaign Finance Limitation Act Fund, certainly not this biennium. Instead, we have used funds from a tax checkoff, which yields very little revenue, and from private donations, and from fines and penalties imposed by the Accountability and Disclosure Commission. Besides being an uncertain source of any meaningful funding, the practice of using fines and penalties contradicts the Constitution of the State of Nebraska. Article VII, Section 5 states that all, quote, fines and penalties, end quote, are to be used exclusively for the support of the common schools. In that regard, I requested an opinion of the Attorney General, and through Dale Comer, a widely-respected veteran lawyer on that staff and in that office, received an opinion that said that the use of fines and penalties to fund the Campaign Finance Limitation Act was a violation of our Nebraska Constitution. I'm more than disappointed that the Accountability and Disclosure Commission, which is supposed to be the guardian regulatory agency of credibility and virtue and compliance with the law in any and every respect, hasn't seen fit to follow that up at all. No challenge in court, no test, the way it's usually done. No, just ignore an Attorney