

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 27, 2004 LB 762

concerned--because that's what this would do--or to finally inform the Government Committee that fine-tuning adjustments that I've tried to put in place for the last seven or eight years should be done, so that at least you have the law in the form...in the very best form it can be in. But this is an exceedingly important issue. To me, it is the fundamental issue of this session, of this era in American government. It's about more than Common Cause; it's about all the people of the state. It's about more than Lorelee Byrd. Lorelee Byrd is an aberration in a hundred different ways. It's about, indeed, money and government and the influence of money with respect to political elections. And I humbly submit that if you don't think that's a major problem in America, well, you shouldn't vote...you should vote for the elimination of the Campaign Finance Reform Act. But I would submit to you that all of us who know how these things work know that money is a problem in politics. And it doesn't take those of us involved to know that; every common citizen out there believes that to be the case. Obviously, I'm exaggerating to some extent. Not everyone. But I will submit to you that the people of the state are behind the Campaign Finance Reform Act, are behind finding ways to limit the influence of money. Free speech and spending all the money that you want on a campaign, even the Supreme Court of the United States, in its most recent decision on McCain-Feingold, has come to the conclusion that indeed money is corrupting politics. And it accepted the evidence of the defendants, and for the first time since the Buckley decision several decades ago, has said, we're not just...we're not going to say anymore that you can spend all the money you want and it's a matter of free speech. The court turned the corner and started going in a different direction. And it is the direction of America generally, and it is the direction that says, a fair campaign involves some kind of rough equivalent of resources. Dollar for dollar? Of course not. But when you have campaigns like you have here in Nebraska, where people are outspent four to one, as in two of the regents races, and when that money is loaded into the very end of the campaign and is loaded in and mixed in and buys a considerable amount of negative campaigning, you and I both know that a person that cannot respond to that cannot win. Money isn't everything. Nobody ever said it was. You have to know how to use the resources, and there will always