

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 26, 2004 LB 32

body. Senator Schrock, I'd like to ask you a couple questions, I guess. In clarification, I have been...I am on the Natural Resources Committee and been a part of all the discussion. And some things have changed a little bit as it's gone along. And did you state this morning that there is an NRD that actually covers the distance from South Sioux City to Omaha, the same NRD?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Schrock.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Somebody correct me if I'm wrong, but I think the Papio NRD runs all the way up to South...and includes South Sioux City,...

SENATOR KREMER: Okay.

SENATOR SCHROCK: ...and includes the stretch of agricultural land all the way from South Sioux City to...it's part of the old Missouri Tribes NRD.

SENATOR KREMER: Well, that kind of...

SENATOR SCHROCK: They combined.

SENATOR KREMER: ...brings up how important I think...what I'm going to ask in my discussion a little bit is that first of all, we had the landowners that were exempt. And this has changed as we've gone along. And on the new amendment to the amendment, if we tax NRDs, you...it would...if they would assess a levy or a tax on...in the NRD, they would have to tax everyone equally, no matter whether they're in the city, outside the city, or what. So you have a lot of people from South Sioux City that are landowners that are going to be taxed to cover this.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Senator Kremer, I have thought of that. And as you know, we tried to exempt ag land in committee. And I apologize to any committee; this is a completely different change of direction. But we weren't going to be very successful, probably, with the original proposal out of the committee. I don't know if we'll be successful with this. But the NRDs do a lot for agriculture, Soil and Water Conservation