

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 26, 2004 LB 32

and outreach on storm water impacts. The cities, the NRDs, were not required to do this kind of outreach. Now they have to do it, but they have no funding to do it. They have to do public involvement and participation programs. We have been having, through the NRDs, public meetings, at least for the last three years, with notice given to people in the public of what's coming, what's potentially having to be done. Those meetings have not been paid for by this mandate. Three, illicit discharge detection and elimination, finding these sources of pollution, identifying them, dealing with them; again, that's something that the cities have not been having to do. Construction site storm water runoff control; we have had limited storm water runoff on construction sites mitigation projects.

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR PREISTER: That's being required to do. Postconstruction storm water management in new development and redevelopment, after the construction has been done, continuation of those programs, which they have not had to do in the past. Pollution prevention and good housekeeping for municipal operations; some of these may have been done in the past, but this is an expansion of what they have to do. In addition, doing these things, if you'll look at your fiscal note, in addition to what the city of Omaha is already doing, they estimate in the fiscal note this will cost over an additional \$9 million, \$9 million in addition to what they're already doing. If you look in your fiscal note for the city of Lincoln, the city of Lincoln anticipates an additional over \$5 million that they will have to pay. Therein lies the challenge. We have to...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR PREISTER: ...do it, we've had to have been doing it for the last year, and we have no funding source to do it. The cities, the counties,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.