

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 26, 2004 LB 139

mention also in that regard that we don't pick up juveniles, Senator Synowiecki, and some of those offenses are just as serious as the offenses for which adults are committed to the penitentiary. Thirty different states pick up juvenile offenses. I think that's another category that should be considered. Twenty-eight states pick up all drug crimes. That's another category that we should consider at some point in time. If you take all felons, totally together, 23 states cover all felonies. And then there are 31 states that go back and retroactively cover everyone in those categories who's been in jail or prison, and that's another thing that we do not do. So, as we take each step forward, we'll have to weigh the cost benefit of adding an additional category or including additional people. Obviously, every additional one we include is another \$40, \$35, \$40 of cost. But there's probably a ways to go. You'll probably be seeing bills on this matter for the next two or three years, asking you to think about whether further expansion is a good idea. Thank you.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Baker.

SENATOR BAKER: Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members. I have a question for Senator Johnson, get him up to speed here. Your amendment to the committee amendment strikes Section 7 of the committee amendments which, as I read it, allows for request of the accused to ask for a DNA sample, and then they have the right to purge that. I guess my question is why, in your amendment, is that taken out?

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Johnson, would you yield?

SENATOR JOHNSON: Yes. Senator Baker, what we're trying to do here is this; is the ability for a convicted person is already in the statute, as I understand it. What this is here is it goes back to the civil legislation part of the bill and that's why we don't have any, shall we say, moral objection to doing that, except that we get into civil law as opposed to criminal law. The criminal law statutes are already there, as best I am aware of.

SENATOR BAKER: Okay. So this is simply...it's taking out for