

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 26, 2004 LB 139

SENATOR JOHNSON: Yes, sir.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: It's my under...from my own personal experience, some of these individuals are sentenced to a community-based sentence, sexual assaults and so forth. What is your opinion in terms of my concern that we do not have clear-cut protocols and procedures available for the probation officers in terms of who is responsible, at what time and so forth, in terms of community-based sentencing?

SENATOR JOHNSON: Well, we have found kind of the same thing. As I mentioned that we have instances where judges aren't aware of...that this should be part of the sentencing...

SPEAKER BROMM: Time. Thank you, Senator Synowiecki and Senator Johnson. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: I would yield the first part of my time to Senator Johnson, to finish his remarks and...

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Johnson.

SENATOR JOHNSON: Thank you. So we have judges that might not include this. We have county sheriffs that may not be totally aware of when the specimen should be drawn. And there at least is the potential for the probation and parole type of situation where they need to be drawn as well. We think that that should be there. The Chairman of the Judiciary Committee didn't think that even the language that we had in our amendment here needed to be there, but our personal findings were that this needed to be strengthened, and so we have come to kind of, shall we say, compromise language that gives the instruction to the person in the State Patrol that they are in charge and will see that it's done.

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Beutler, you have almost four minutes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yeah, just picking up on the conversation, I would certainly encourage Senator Synowiecki to pursue the matter. I think that, to the extent that it can be done without controversy this session, that we ought to do that. I might