

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 23, 2004 LB 304

lines 19 through 22 on page 1, the language says, "The act does not apply to a police or sheriff's department that has adopted any procedure," not a collective bargaining agreement, something that the department itself just put in place. But regardless of who or what entity has the authority to set the rules, that person is compelled to put rules in place that accord with this statute, this proposed statute. I'd like to ask Senator Landis a question because...and I feel easier doing it now because he's on the bill.

SENATOR CUDABACK PRESIDING

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Landis, would you respond?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Landis,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...on page 4, line 23, "A peace officer shall not be subjected to offensive language." What does that word "offensive" mean? Because it's being put in the statute without a definition.

SENATOR LANDIS: Offensive language would be, I think, a language that would be offensive to a reasonable third party,...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Could it...

SENATOR LANDIS: ...as opposed to offensive to the receiver of the message. Question is would it be offensive in a community sense.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Is there anything in the bill that indicates that it is not a term of art that applies to police officers particularly, since everything else is like a term of art applying to them? And these are not trick questions, but to show...

SENATOR LANDIS: Right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...some problems I see.