

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 23, 2004 LB 304

the interrogation recorded,...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: One minute.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...and it ought to be in writing. You ought to know who's in charge of the interrogation. You ought to be able to have a lawyer at it, and if you can't get a lawyer in time you ought to be able to get a continuance before there's an interrogation. There ought to be no more than two interrogators. That's enough for a good cop, bad cop routine, isn't it, as opposed to a, you know, a hot box filled with people. Your physical necessities ought to be able to be met. That is to say you shouldn't be without food or water or a chance to go to the bathroom. There ought to be a limitation on the order to be ordered into a polygraph, rather than have your boss say, I don't care what you think, you will take a polygraph. That, in fact, if there is an adverse document put into your personnel file, that you should see it and sign it or, if you don't, you should be able to annotate that it in fact is going into your document without your support. And lastly, that there ought to be an antiretaliation so that you don't get a retaliation...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Time.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...in the event you make use of this bill of rights. That set of ideas is not dangerous to me.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Senator Landis. Senator Chambers, you're next, and yours is the last light on. I don't know if you want to use this as your closing or not.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No. Oh, no, I don't want it to be my closing.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So, soon as it goes off, I'll punch it back on.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Fine. Thank you.