

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 23, 2004 LB 304

move the bill out, even though it wasn't ready. Should we have sympathy to Senator Synowiecki, who's trying to do the right thing and advance the bill? And yet, I'm struggling. I want to support the bill. I can't because it's so poorly drafted. I don't know if anyone else is thinking the same things I am. I'm going to continue to support recommit to committee simply because it's so poorly drafted, unless somebody can indicate a different course of action.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Time.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Senator. Senator Mossey, you're the next speaker, followed by Senators Landis and Chambers.

SENATOR MOSSEY: Thank you, Madam President. Just to reclarify, I guess, some miscommunication between myself and Senator Chambers; what Senator Chambers is talking about is on page 1, Section 2, paragraph (4), lines 19 through 22. What that says is any agency that has the minimum standards or above of the bill of rights, their collect...that agency would fall under whatever their collective bargaining unit is. If they do not meet the minimum requirements of the the bill...of...for example, if you had a collective bargaining unit and you do not meet the minimum requirements of the bill of rights, you would fall under the bill of rights. So I understand what a trump is and, yes, this bill could trump a collective bargaining unit if it did not have the minimum standards. To answer Senator Bourne's question, I do not support the recommit to committee motion because, honestly, with the agenda we have, I doubt it will make it back out to be reheard again. Would Senator Bourne yield to a question?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Senator Bourne.

SENATOR BOURNE: Certainly.

SENATOR MOSSEY: Do you, in your legal opinion, I'm not a lawyer, I pretend to be sometimes (laugh), but in your opinion, could this bill be worked on between General and Select File and