

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 23, 2004 LB 304

SENATOR BRASHEAR: With six members of the committee indicating they'd advance it in this condition, then I would assume that it would be our job to expeditiously put it in the best shape we could put it in.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you, Senator Brashear. Would Senator Bromm yield to a question?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Senator Bromm. I believe he's excused.

SENATOR BOURNE: Oh, Senator Bromm is not here today. Senator Chambers said that he'll answer for Senator Bromm. I don't know that Senator Bromm would appreciate that, but what I wanted to ask Senator Bromm is, is that these bills we're hearing now are priority bills from last year, and what I was going to ask is if the bill was recommitted to committee, if the committee worked on it, if this bill would enjoy the same priority status that it got from last year, because of the Speaker. That's what I want to know. I mean, I do want to improve the bill but, quite frankly, I don't know how to do it from here. So I'm looking for the elder members in the body to (laugh) to articulate to us, those people that...Senator Landis, maybe you have some input, Senator Mossey, I don't know who does, but the bill needs to be improved. There's language problems. Do we kill it? Do we send it back to committee? How do we get past this logjam? You know, it's interesting that...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: One minute.

SENATOR BOURNE: ...the Judiciary Committee, I feel, has always...rarely can you find fault with their legislation. That this bill was referred out on a promise that it wouldn't go anywhere, so there's no committee amendment to make the bill better, that's...I don't even know what to say about that. I mean it's...it's poor lobbying, I will tell you that, because now they've got the cart there but there's no horse. There's a number of people here that want to vote on this bill that believe we need this, but can't because the bill is so poorly drafted. So I don't know if I should have some sympathy to the lobbyist who convinced the members of the Judiciary Committee to